SOLUTIONS: ECE 656 Homework (Week 7) Mark Lundstrom Purdue University

1) This homework exercise will help you become familiar with how *B* –fields affect transport

Consider the equation of motion for an average electron,

$$\vec{F}_e = -q\vec{\mathcal{E}} - q\vec{\upsilon} \times \vec{B} = \frac{d\vec{p}}{dt} \quad . \tag{i}$$

Assume that the electron moves for a time, $\tau_{\rm m}$, then scatters, returning the average momentum to zero, so

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{p}{\tau_m} .$$
(ii)

Assuming that $\vec{p} = m^* \vec{v}$, we find an equation for the average velocity as

$$\vec{\upsilon} = -\frac{q\tau}{m^*}\vec{\mathcal{E}} - \frac{q\tau}{m^*}\vec{\upsilon} \times \vec{B}.$$
 (iii)

This equation can be solved exactly for the velocity (see prob. 4.18, Lundstrom, *Fundamentals of Carrier Transport*, 2000), but let's take a different approach.

1a) Assume carriers move in 2D and that only a z-directed *B*-field is present. Evaluate eqn. (iii) and find two coupled equations for v_x and v_y .

Solution:

Assuming a z-directed B-field, it is straightforward to show from (iii) that

$$\upsilon_{x} = -\frac{q\tau_{m}}{m^{*}} \mathcal{E}_{x} - \frac{q\tau_{m}}{m^{*}} \upsilon_{y} B_{z}$$

$$\upsilon_{y} = -\frac{q\tau_{m}}{m^{*}} \mathcal{E}_{y} + \frac{q\tau_{m}}{m^{*}} \upsilon_{x} B_{z}$$
(*)

Mark Lundstrom

ECE 656 Homework Solutions (Week 7) (continued)

1b) Solve the two equations for v_x and v_y in terms of the electric field and the B-field.

Solution:

From (*), it is straightforward to show:

$$\upsilon_{x} = \frac{-\mu_{n} \mathcal{E}_{x} + \mu_{n}^{2} \mathcal{E}_{y} B_{z}}{1 + (\omega_{c} \tau)^{2}}$$

$$\upsilon_{y} = \frac{-\mu_{n} \mathcal{E}_{y} - \mu_{n}^{2} \mathcal{E}_{x} B_{z}}{1 + (\omega_{c} \tau)^{2}}$$
(**)

Where $\omega_c = \frac{qB_z}{m^*}$ is the so-called cyclotron frequency.

1c) Write the current densities as

$$J_x = -n_s q v_x$$
(iva)
$$J_y = -n_s q v_y$$
(ivb)

and use the results of problem 5b) to find the current densities as

$$J_{x} = -nqv_{x} = \frac{\sigma_{n}}{1 + (\mu_{n}B_{z})^{2}} \left(\mathcal{E}_{x} - \mu_{n}B_{z}\mathcal{E}_{y} \right)$$
(va)

$$J_{y} = -nqv_{y} = \frac{\sigma_{n}}{1 + (\mu_{n}B_{z})^{2}} \left(\mathcal{E}_{y} + \mu_{n}B_{z}\mathcal{E}_{x} \right), \qquad (vb)$$

which can also be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} J_x \\ J_y \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\sigma_n}{1 + (\mu_n B_z)^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\mu_n B_z \\ \mu_n B_z & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{E}_x \\ \mathcal{E}_y \end{bmatrix}$$
(via)

or as

$$J_i = \sigma_{ij} (B_z) \mathcal{E}_j$$
 (vib)

Note that the magnetic field affects both the diagonal and off-diagonal components of the magnetoconductivity tensor. **Explain** why there is no Hall factor, r_{H} , in the result.

Solution:

These results follow directly from (**). Note that we are considering the motion of an **average** electron with a momentum relaxation time of τ_m . We are neglecting the variation of scattering time with energy and considering only an average case.

Consequently, $\langle \tau_m \rangle = \tau_m$ and $\langle \tau_m^2 \rangle = \tau_m^2$ and the Hall factor is one. The algebra in this approach is simpler, but we are missing the fact that there is a Hall factor.

1d) Solve eqn. (via) for the electric field and show that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{E}_{x} \\ \mathcal{E}_{y} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{n}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mu_{n}B_{z} \\ -\mu_{n}B_{z} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J_{x} \\ J_{y} \end{pmatrix}$$
(vii)

According to eqn. (vii), the longitudinal magnetoresistivity is independent of the *B*-field (while the longitudinal magnetoconductivity depends on *B* as shown in eqn. (via). Equation (vii) shows that the Hall voltage is proportional to *B*.

Solution:

We can re-write (vi) as

$$\begin{pmatrix} J_x \\ J_y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_L & -\sigma_T \\ \sigma_T & \sigma_L \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{E}_x \\ \mathcal{E}_y \end{pmatrix}$$
(***)

where

$$\sigma_L = \frac{\sigma_n}{1 + (\mu_n B_z)^2} \qquad \sigma_T = \frac{\sigma_n \mu_n B_z}{1 + (\mu_n B_z)^2}$$

If we invert (***), we find

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}_{x} \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}_{y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_{L} & \rho_{T} \\ -\rho_{T} & \rho_{L} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{J}_{x} \\ \boldsymbol{J}_{y} \end{pmatrix}$$
 (****)

where

$$\rho_L = \frac{\sigma_L}{\sigma_L^2 + \sigma_T^2} = \frac{1}{\sigma_n} \qquad \qquad \rho_T = \frac{\sigma_T}{\sigma_L^2 + \sigma_T^2} = \frac{\mu_n B_z}{\sigma_n}$$

The final result,

| $\left(\mathcal{E}_{x} \right)$ | | 1 | $\mu_n B_z$ | J_{x} | |
|----------------------------------|------------|--------------|-------------|---------|---|
| $\left(\mathcal{E}_{y} \right)$ | σ_n | $-\mu_n B_z$ | 1 | J_{y} | J |

shows that the longitudinal magneto**resistivity** is independent of *B* while the longitudinal magneto**conductivity** (from (via)) depends on the B-field. Comparing to prob. 5), we see that we get the same result for the magnetoresistivity without assuming a small B-field.

1e) Show that for small B-fields, eqn. (via) can be written as

$$\vec{J}_n = \sigma_n \vec{\mathcal{E}} - (\sigma_n \mu_n) \vec{\mathcal{E}} \times \vec{B}$$
(vii)

Note that while this analysis is simpler than solving the BTE, by beginning with an average electron with an average momentum, \vec{p} , we have missed the averaging of the distribution of momenta which leads to a non-unity Hall factor, r_H .

Solution:

Simply expand out (vii) and show that it gives (via) for small *B*.

$$\begin{pmatrix} J_x \\ J_y \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\sigma_n}{1 + (\mu_n B_z)^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\mu_n B_z \\ \mu_n B_z & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{E}_x \\ \mathcal{E}_y \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\approx \sigma_n \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\mu_n B_z \\ \mu_n B_z & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{E}_x \\ \mathcal{E}_y \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \sigma_n \vec{\mathcal{E}} - (\sigma_n \mu_n) \vec{\mathcal{E}} \times \vec{B}$$

- 2) Hall factors are important to consider when analyzing experiments. Answer the following questions.
 - 2a) Assuming parabolic energy bands, derive an expression for the Hall factor in 3D and show that for ionized impurity scattering, it gives $r_H = 1.93$.

Solution:

The definition of the Hall factor is:

$$r_{H} \equiv \left\langle \left\langle \tau_{m}^{2} \right\rangle \right\rangle / \left\langle \left\langle \tau_{m} \right\rangle \right\rangle^{2} \tag{i}$$

Assume power law scattering:

$$\tau_m = \tau_0 \left(E / k_B T_L \right)^s$$

Recall that the average scattering time is:

$$\left\langle \left\langle \tau_{m}\right\rangle \right\rangle = \tau_{0} \frac{\Gamma\left(s+5/2\right)}{\Gamma\left(5/2\right)}$$

Note also that

$$\tau_m^2 = \tau_0^2 \left(E/k_B T_L \right)^{2s}$$

is in power law form with a characteristic exponent of 2s instead of s, so

$$\left\langle \left\langle \tau_{m}^{2} \right\rangle \right\rangle = \tau_{0}^{2} \frac{\Gamma(2s+5/2)}{\Gamma(5/2)}$$

and

$$r_{H} = \frac{\Gamma(2s+5/2)\Gamma(5/2)}{\left[\Gamma(s+5/2)\right]^{2}} = 1.93$$

Now using (i), we find

$$r_{H} = \frac{\Gamma(2s+5/2)\Gamma(5/2)}{\left[\Gamma(s+5/2)\right]^{2}}$$

Assuming s = 3/2 for II scattering, we find

$$r_{H} = \frac{\Gamma(11/2)\Gamma(5/2)}{\left[\Gamma(4)\right]^{2}}$$

Recall some properties of the Gamma function:

$$\Gamma(n) = (n-1)! \text{ (when } n \text{ is an integer)}$$

$$\Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi}$$

$$\Gamma(p+1) = p\Gamma(p)$$

ECE-656

Accordingly, we find:

$$\Gamma(4) = (3)! = 6$$

$$\Gamma(11/2) = \frac{9}{2}\Gamma(9/2) = \frac{9}{2}\frac{7}{2}\Gamma(7/2) = \frac{9}{2}\frac{7}{2}\frac{5}{2}\Gamma(5/2)$$

$$\Gamma(5/2) = \frac{3}{2}\Gamma(3/2) = \frac{3}{2}\frac{1}{2}\Gamma(1/2) = \frac{3}{4}\sqrt{\pi}$$

Putting it all together:

$$r_{H} = \frac{\Gamma(11/2)\Gamma(5/2)}{\left[\Gamma(4)\right]^{2}} = \frac{\frac{9}{2}\frac{7}{2}\frac{5}{2}\frac{3}{4}\sqrt{\pi}\frac{3}{4}\sqrt{\pi}}{6\times6} = \frac{22\pi}{36} = 1.9828$$
$$r_{H} = 1.9828$$

2b) Assume parabolic energy bands and develop an expression for the Hall factor in 2D.

Solution:

Recall that for 2D, parabolic bands, non-degenerate conditions

$$\left\langle \left\langle \tau_{m}\right\rangle \right\rangle = \tau_{0} \frac{\Gamma(s+2)}{\Gamma(2)}$$

As in 7a), we find

$$\left\langle \left\langle \tau_{m}^{2} \right\rangle \right\rangle = \tau_{0}^{2} \frac{\Gamma(2s+2)}{\Gamma(2)}$$

So the Hall factor is

2c) Ionized impurity scattering in graphene is said to vary as *E*. What is the Hall factor for graphene?

Solution:

We cannot use the results from 6b), because the energy bands in graphene are not parabolic **and** because graphene is highly degenerate. Under degenerate conditions, only the scattering time at the Fermi level matters, so

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \left\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_{m} \right\rangle \right\rangle &= \boldsymbol{\tau}_{m} \left(\boldsymbol{E}_{F} \right) \\ \left\langle \left\langle \boldsymbol{\tau}_{m}^{2} \right\rangle \right\rangle &= \left\{ \boldsymbol{\tau}_{m} \left(\boldsymbol{E}_{F} \right) \right\}^{2} \end{split}$$

Accordingly,

$$r_{H} = \left\langle \left\langle \tau_{m}^{2} \right\rangle \right\rangle / \left\langle \left\langle \tau_{m} \right\rangle \right\rangle^{2} = 1$$

Independent of the type of scattering.