

Network for Computational Nanotechnology

NCN nanoHUB online simulations and more

Current Flow

• Reminder: We're discussing the current flow through the channel connected to the source and drain contacts with two different chemical potentials. • Towards the beginning of the course we discussed this problem for a single level device and we derived the equations with common sense argument without much use of quantum mechanics.

• Huge size; however the effect on the channel can be written using \sum_1 which has the same size as that of the channel.

Source

$$\mu_1$$

 $\tau_1 G_1 \tau_1^+ = [\Sigma_1]$
 $T_1 T_2$
 $\Gamma_1 T_2$
 Γ_2
 Γ

• Huge size; however the effect on the channel can be written using \sum_2 which has the same size as that of the channel.

00:00

$$\left[EI - H_1 + i0^{-}\right]^{-1} = G_1$$

 $G_2 = \left[EI - H_2 + i0^+ \right]^{-1}$

Density Matrix; Current

$$[\rho] = \int \frac{dE}{2\pi} [G^{n}(E)] \text{ want to derive}$$

$$G^{n} = ([A_{1}]f_{1} + [A_{2}]f_{2})$$

$$A_{1} = G\Gamma_{1}G^{+} \quad A_{2} = G\Gamma_{2}G^{+}$$

$$G = (EI - H - \sum_{i} - \sum_{2})^{-i}$$

$$I_{i} = (-\frac{q}{\hbar})\int \frac{dE}{2\pi}\widetilde{I}_{i}(E) \text{ want to derive}$$

$$\widetilde{I}_{i}(E) = Trace(\Gamma_{i}A)f_{1} - Trace(\Gamma_{i}G^{n})$$
• Next, we want to derive G^{n} .



03:12

- <u>Schrödinger Equation</u>
- General Form $E\Psi = H\Psi$ • Partitioned $E\left\{\frac{\psi}{\Phi}\right\} = \left[\frac{H}{\tau^{+}} | \frac{\tau}{H_{1} + i0^{+}}\right] \left\{\frac{\psi}{\Phi}\right\}$
- Contact before being connected to channel: $(EI H_1 + i0^+)\Phi_1 = 0$
- What happens after connection?

Schrödinger Equation

Schrödinger Equation becomes:

$$E \begin{cases} \psi \\ \Phi_1 + \chi \end{cases} = \begin{bmatrix} H & \tau_1 \\ \tau_1^+ & H_1 - i0^+ \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} \psi \\ \Phi_1 + \chi \end{cases}$$

• So we have:

$$E\psi = [H]\psi + [\tau_1]\chi + [\tau]\Phi_1$$

$$E\Phi_{1} + E\chi = [\tau_{1}^{+}]\psi + [H_{1} - i0^{+}]\Phi_{1} + [H_{1} + i0^{+}]\chi$$

• Since Φ_1 is an eigenstate of the reservoir,

 $E\Phi_{1}^{*} + E\chi = [\tau_{1}^{+}]\psi + [H_{1} - i0^{+}]\Phi_{1} + [H_{1} + i0^{+}]\chi$

• Grouping the similar terms,

$$[E - H_1 + i0^+]\chi = [\tau_1^+]\psi$$

• The solution is:

$$\chi = \left[G_{1}\right]\tau_{1}^{+}]\psi$$

• Substituting back into the first equation,

$$\begin{bmatrix} EI - H - \sum_{1} \psi = [\tau_{1}] \Phi_{1} \\ ([\Sigma_{1}] = \tau_{1} G_{1} \tau_{1}^{+}) \end{bmatrix}$$

4:55

• We are used to dealing with the Schrödinger equation like

 $\left[EI - H\right]\psi = 0$

But here we have a driven system that we solve for:

$$\begin{bmatrix} EI - H - \sum_{1} \end{bmatrix} \psi = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_1 \end{bmatrix} \Phi_1$$

Towards Electron Density

• In case of two contacts, we would have:

$$\begin{bmatrix} EI - H - \sum_{1} - \sum_{2} \end{bmatrix} \psi = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{1} \end{bmatrix} \Phi_{1} + \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{2} \end{bmatrix} \Phi_{2}$$

For now, we keep things simple and continue with one contact; solving for ψ , we have: $\psi = [G]S_1$

Where,

$$(S_1 = [\tau_1]\Phi_1)$$
 and $G = (EI - H - \Sigma_1)^{-1}$

• Next step is to find the electron density inside the channel.



• There are millions of eigenstates inside the reservoir which we can denote by α and each of them has its own eigenvalue. i.e.

25:10

$$E - \varepsilon_{\alpha} + i0^+ \Phi_{\alpha} = 0$$

and each solution will give rise to a certain ψ .

A Very Important Physical Concept

• We know that the net electron density is $\psi^*\psi$. Now the question is:

$$\begin{vmatrix} \sum_{\alpha} \psi_{\alpha} \end{vmatrix}^2 \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{\alpha} |\psi_{\alpha} \rangle$$
Wrong Correct

• Note that this is only true as long as α represents an eigenstate of the contact.

• The reason relies on coherence discussed on the next page.

Young's Double-Slit Experiment Intensity vs. Electric Field

- There are lots of eigenstates in the contact, however there is NO coherence between them and in that sense they all act independently.
- For instance, consider Young's double-slit experiment:

• For thermal sources without coherence we should add intensities whereas for coherent sources like laser we

S

e

n

might have had situations for which we'd want to add the electric fields.

• Electrons are more like thermal sources and they act incoherently.

• We should find ψ due to each excitation in the contact and then add the intensities ($\psi\psi^*$).

29:50

• The significant ones from the point of view of electron density are the diagonal ones.

Total Number of Electrons

 $\{\psi_{\alpha}\}^{+} \{\psi_{\alpha}\} = Trace \{\psi_{\alpha}\} \{\psi_{\alpha}\}^{+}$

Towards Density Matrix

• Based on $\psi_{\alpha} = [G][\tau_1]\Phi_{\alpha}$ we have:

$$\{\psi_{\alpha}\} \{\psi_{\alpha}\}^{+} = [G][\tau_{1}] \Phi_{\alpha} \Phi_{\alpha}^{+} [\tau]^{+} [G]^{+}$$

36:35

• Summing over all states that are occupied,

$$\sum_{\alpha} f_{1}(\varepsilon_{\alpha}) \{\psi_{\alpha}\} \{\psi_{\alpha}\}^{+} = \sum_{\alpha} f_{1}(\varepsilon_{\alpha}) [G] [\tau_{1}] \Phi_{\alpha} \Phi_{\alpha}^{+} [\tau]^{+} [G]^{+}$$
Making use of the definition of delta function:
$$\left(\sum_{\alpha} f_{1}(\varepsilon_{\alpha}) = \int dE f_{1}(E) \sum_{\alpha} \delta(E - \varepsilon_{\alpha})\right)$$
• We have:
$$\sum_{\alpha} f_{1}(\varepsilon_{\alpha}) \{\psi_{\alpha}\} \{\psi_{\alpha}\}^{+} = \int dE f_{1}(E) G \tau_{1} \sum_{\alpha} \Phi_{\alpha} \Phi_{\alpha}^{+} \delta(E - \varepsilon_{\alpha}) \tau_{1}^{+} G^{+}$$
Spectral Function $[a_{1}]$



$$\sum_{\alpha} f_1(\varepsilon_{\alpha}) \{ \psi_{\alpha} \} \{ \psi_{\alpha} \}^+ = \int dE f_1(E) G \tau_1 \sum_{\alpha} \Phi_{\alpha} \Phi_{\alpha}^+ \delta(E - \varepsilon_{\alpha}) \tau_1^+ G^+$$

$$\Rightarrow \int dEG^{n} = \int dEf_{1}(E)G\underbrace{\tau_{1}a_{1}\tau_{1}}_{\Gamma_{1}}G^{+}$$

• We wanted to derive the electron density inside the channel:

$$G^{n} = \left(\begin{bmatrix} A_1 \end{bmatrix} f_1 + \begin{bmatrix} A_2 \end{bmatrix} f_2 \right)$$
$$\left(G\Gamma_1 G^+ = A_1 \right) \qquad \left(A_2 = G\Gamma_2 G^+ \right)$$

• Note:

$$\Gamma_{1} = i(\Sigma_{1} - \Sigma_{1}^{+}) = i(\tau_{1}G_{1}\tau_{1}^{+} - \tau_{1}G_{1}^{+}\tau_{1}^{+}) = \tau_{1}a_{1}\tau_{1}^{+}$$
$$\Sigma_{1} = \tau_{1}G_{1}\tau_{1}^{+} \quad \tau_{1}G_{1}\tau_{1}^{+} = \Sigma_{1}^{+}$$

General Philosophy; Pauli Blocking?



46:00

General Philosophy

- Start from something that describes the device and contact together.
- Contact is full of eigenstates which are trying to fill up the device; find the resulting wave function.
- Each eigenstate acts on the device independently.
- Do the states crossing from right to left and from left to right block one another because of Exclusion Principle?

• No. As long as you start from two states that are orthogonal, even if they overlap, they can't block each other.