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3) Non-ohmic conduction: renormalization
4) Finite width transition: physics of striping
5) Conclusion
basics: cluster-size and conduction

infinite cluster $P$

dangling bonds

More details in the lab-session …
finite sizes and end of Ohm’s law

Ohm’s law says ...

\[
\sigma(p \gg p_c) \propto \frac{1}{L^0} \\
\sigma_0 \\
G \sim \sigma_0 \frac{W}{L}
\]

but close to percolation ....

\[
\sigma(p \sim p_c) \propto \frac{1}{\mu^{-1}} = \frac{1}{L^{0.93}} \\
G \sim \sigma \frac{W}{L} \sim \frac{1}{L^\nu}
\]
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non-ohmic scaling by cell percolation

Prob. of a filled row $P = p^M$

Probability of 1-percolation path

$$P_1 = N \times P \times (1 - P)^{N-1}$$

Probability of 2-percolation paths

$$P_2 = \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \times P^2 \times (1 - P)^{N-2}$$

$$P_n = \frac{x^n}{n!} \exp(-x) \quad x \equiv PN = p^M N$$

Homework

$M \sim L/a$

$N \sim A/a^2$
finite size “percolation threshold”

Prob. of a filled row $P = p^M$

Probability of not conducting

$$1 - P_0 = (1 - P)^N$$

Probability of conducting

$$P_0 = 1 - \left(1 - p^M\right)^N \sim 1 - \left(1 - p^{L/a}\right)^{A/a^2}$$
finite size “percolation threshold”

\[ P_0 = 1 - \left(1 - p^{L/a}\right)^{A/a^2} \]

Simple cell-percolation model anticipates L-dependent threshold
conductance of the random resistor

Average number of percolation paths

$$\langle n \rangle = P_1 + 2P_2 + 3P_3 + \ldots \ldots$$

$$= \sum_{i>0} i \cdot P_i = NP = N \times p^M$$

Average paths/area

$$\frac{\langle n \rangle}{N} = p^M \sim p^{L/a}$$

$$G \sim \frac{\sigma_0^*}{L} \times N \times \frac{\langle n \rangle}{N} = \frac{\sigma_0^*}{L} \frac{A}{a^2} p^{L/a} \propto \frac{\sigma_0^* p^{L/a} A}{L}$$

- With p close to 1 (>>pc), we return to 1/L dependence
- Nonlinearity in G arises from cluster-size distribution
length dependence for small vs. large systems

\[ G \sim \sigma_{\text{row}} p^L \frac{W}{L} \quad \text{(very small systems)} \]

\[ G \sim \sigma_{\text{row}} \frac{W}{L^{1.93}} \quad \text{(very large systems)} \]
... crooked paths for long conductors

long $L$ excluded short $L$

$$G \propto \frac{3^{L-1} p^L}{L}$$

The number of nonlinear paths increase with $L$

Inclusion of these paths improves the long-$L$ limit
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self-similarity

Invariant under magnification or scaling
At percolation threshold, the self-similar pattern will not change on rescaling ...
At $p=p_c$, the islands sizes are self-similar.

The probability of connection at smaller scale must be preserved for scale invariance to work.
1D percolation threshold ....

At the threshold, scaling does not change $p$ ....

$$p_c = p_c^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad p_c = 0, 1$$

Either all are connected ($p_c=1$) or all are broken ($p_c=0$)
now 2D threshold ....

Rule: ability to connect from left to right

The probability of connection at smaller scale must be preserved for scale invariance to work.
percolation threshold ....

\[ p' = p^5 + p^4 \times (1 - p) + 4p^4 \times (1 - p) + 8p^3 \times (1 - p)^2 + 2p^2 \times (1 - p)^3 \]
percolation threshold …. 

$$p' = p^5 + 5p^4(1 - p) + 8p^3(1 - p)^2 + 2p^2(1 - p)^3$$

At percolation threshold, \( p' = p \) so that \( p = \frac{1}{2}, 0, 1 \)

From lecture 2, recall that bon percolation threshold was indeed 0.5 …
New Rule: $1 \text{ M} = 1.75 \text{ O}$

If weight for different bonds are different, the scaling will obviously proceed differently ...

44 vs. 37
conductivity ....

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
p^5 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{-1} \\
p^4 \times (1 - p) \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{-1}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
conductivity …. 

\[
\frac{p'}{\sigma_{s_2}} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{s_1}} \left[ p^5 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{-1} + p^4 \times (1 - p) \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{-1} + 4p^4(1 - p) \left( \frac{3}{5} \right)^{-1} + 2p^3(1 - p)^2 \left( \frac{1}{3} \right)^{-1} + 6p^3(1 - p)^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{-1} + 2p^2(1 - p)^3 \right]
\]
conductivity ....

\[
\frac{p'}{\sigma_{s_2}} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{s_1}} \left[ p^5 + p^4(1-p) + \frac{5}{3} \times 4p^4(1-p) + 6p^3(1-p)^2 + 12p^3(1-p)^2 + 4p^2(1-p)^3 \right]
\]

If \( p' = p \) then

\[
\left[ \frac{\sigma_{s_1}}{\sigma_{s_2}} \right] = 1.917 = \left( \frac{s_2}{s_1} \right)^{\frac{\mu}{\nu} - 1} = 2^{\frac{\mu}{\nu} - 1} \Rightarrow \frac{\mu}{\nu} = 1.93
\]

\[
\sigma \sim \frac{1}{L^{0.93}} \quad \text{G} = \sigma \frac{W}{L} \sim \frac{1}{L^{1.93}}
\]
## Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ballistic</th>
<th>Ohmic</th>
<th>Percolation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>$\sim L$</td>
<td>$qn\mu \frac{1}{L^0}$</td>
<td>$\sim \frac{1}{L^{0.93}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G \equiv \sigma \frac{W}{L}$</td>
<td>$\frac{2q^2}{h} \frac{1}{L^0}$</td>
<td>$qn\mu \frac{W}{L}$</td>
<td>$\sim \frac{1}{L^{1.93}}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The danger of using classical SPICE model ...
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finite widths and end of Ohm’s law

Ohm’s law says ...

\[ G \sim \sigma_0 \frac{W}{L} \]

... but in real systems

\[ G \neq \sigma_0 \frac{W}{L} \]
finite thickness effect (1D to 2D transition)

\[ i = 1 \quad \text{pc} = 1 \]

\[ i = 2 \quad \text{pc} = 0.5 \]

1D: \( p_{1,c} < 1 \)
2D: \( p_{2,c} < p_{1c} \)

Percolation threshold...

\[ p_i = 2p_{i+1}^5 - 5p_{i+1}^4 + 2p_{i+1}^3 + 2p_{i+1}^2 \]

\[ p_1 \rightarrow p_2 \rightarrow p_3 \cdots \rightarrow p_\alpha \]
finite thickness effect (1D to 2D transition)

Percolation threshold ...

\[ p_i = 2p_i^5 - 5p_i^4 + 2p_i^3 + 2p_i^2 \]

\[ p_1 \rightarrow p_2 \rightarrow p_3 \cdots \rightarrow p_\alpha \]
Striping allows shifting of percolation threshold
conductance of finite stripes

1D

\[ i = 1 \]

\[ \sigma_i \sim \sigma_i^*/2 \]

\[ \sigma_i^* \]

\[ \sigma_{i+1} \]

2D
conductance of finite size stripes

\[ \frac{\sigma_{i+1}}{2\sigma_i} = \frac{1}{p_i} \left[ p_{i+1}^5 + \frac{23}{3} p_{i+1}^4 (1 - p_{i+1}) + 18 p_{i+1}^3 (1 - p_{i+1})^2 + 4 p_{i+1}^2 (1 - p_{i+1})^3 \right] \]

Ion/\(W\) changes by a factor of \(~2\)
conclusions

Non-ohmic conduction is a feature of percolative transport. It arises from “length-dependent” effective width in which additional islands can join the percolation network as the path length is shortened.

The nonlinearly in the short and the long-channel limits are distinct. Given that many problems in device physics involve short channel transistors, one should be careful in using the appropriate formula.

Quasi-2D percolating network allows tailoring of percolation threshold without affecting the on-current significantly. As we see later, this has remarkable implications for flexible electronics.
Notes and References

This lecture is mostly based on my unpublished results.

I follow D. Stauffer and A. Ahrony, Introduction to Percolation Theory, Revised 2nd Edition, 2003 for the scaling arguments and generalize is appropriately for our specific discussion.

Width dependence and the physics of striping has extensive experimental support in the following publication: N. Pimparkar et al; Nano Research, 2009.

The figures in Slide 14 and 20 are inspired by related figures in “The Physics of Amorphous Solids” by Richard Zallen, 1983.