Lecture 10: Control Flow
Objective

• To understand the implications of control flow on
  – Branch divergence overhead
  – SM execution resource utilization
• To learn better ways to write code with control flow
• To understand compiler/HW predication designed to reduce the impact of control flow
  – There is a cost involved.
Quick terminology review

• **Thread**: concurrent code and associated state executed on the CUDA device (in parallel with other threads)
  – The unit of parallelism in CUDA

• **Warp**: a group of threads executed *physically* in parallel in G80

• **Block**: a group of threads that are executed together and form the unit of resource assignment

• **Grid**: a group of thread blocks that must all complete before the next kernel call of the program can take effect
How thread blocks are partitioned

• Thread blocks are partitioned into warps
  – Thread IDs within a warp are consecutive and increasing
  – Warp 0 starts with Thread ID 0

• Partitioning is always the same
  – Thus you can use this knowledge in control flow
  – However, the exact size of warps may change from generation to generation
  – (Covered next)

• However, DO NOT rely on any ordering between warps
  – If there are any dependencies between threads, you must __syncthreads() to get correct results
Control Flow Instructions

• Main performance concern with branching is divergence
  – Threads within a single warp take different paths
  – Different execution paths are serialized in G80
    • The control paths taken by the threads in a warp are traversed one at a
time until there is no more.

• A common case: avoid divergence when branch condition is a
function of thread ID
  – Example with divergence:
    • If (threadIdx.x > 2) { }
    • This creates two different control paths for threads in a block
    • Branch granularity < warp size; threads 0 and 1 follow different path
      than the rest of the threads in the first warp
  – Example without divergence:
    • If (threadIdx.x / WARP_SIZE > 2) { }
    • Also creates two different control paths for threads in a block
    • Branch granularity is a whole multiple of warp size; all threads in any
given warp follow the same path
Parallel Reduction

• Given an array of values, “reduce” them to a single value in parallel

• Examples
  – sum reduction: sum of all values in the array
  – Max reduction: maximum of all values in the array

• Typically parallel implementation:
  – Recursively halve # threads, add two values per thread
  – Takes log(n) steps for n elements, requires n/2 threads
A Vector Reduction Example

• Assume an in-place reduction using shared memory
  – The original vector is in device global memory
  – The shared memory used to hold a partial sum vector
  – Each iteration brings the partial sum vector closer to the final sum
  – The final solution will be in element 0
A simple implementation

• Assume we have already loaded array into
  - __shared__ float partialSum[]

  unsigned int t = threadIdx.x;
  for (unsigned int stride = 1;
   stride < blockDim.x;  stride *= 2)
  {
    __syncthreads();
    if (t % (2*stride) == 0)
      partialSum[t] += partialSum[t+stride];
  }
Vector Reduction with Bank Conflicts

Array elements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0+1</td>
<td>2+3</td>
<td>4+5</td>
<td>6+7</td>
<td>8+9</td>
<td>10+11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0...3</td>
<td>4..7</td>
<td>8..11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0..7</td>
<td>8..15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Vector Reduction with Branch Divergence

Thread 0 | Thread 2 | Thread 4 | Thread 6 | Thread 8 | Thread 10
---|---|---|---|---|---
0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11
0+1 | 2+3 | 4+5 | 6+7 | 8+9 | 10+11
0..3 | 4..7 | 8..11 | 8..15
0..7 | 8..15

Array elements
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Some Observations

• In each iterations, two control flow paths will be sequentially traversed for each warp
  – Threads that perform addition and threads that do not
  – Threads that do not perform addition may cost extra cycles depending on the implementation of divergence

• No more than half of threads will be executing at any time
  – All odd index threads are disabled right from the beginning!
  – On average, less than $\frac{1}{4}$ of the threads will be activated for all warps over time.
  – After the 5th iteration, entire warps in each block will be disabled, poor resource utilization but no divergence.
    • This can go on for a while, up to 4 more iterations ($512/32=16=2^4$), where each iteration only has one thread activated until all warps retire
Shortcomings of the implementation

- Assume we have already loaded array into
  
  ```
  __shared__ float partialSum[]
  ```

  ```
  unsigned int t = threadIdx.x;
  for (unsigned int stride = 1; stride < blockDim.x; stride *= 2)
  {
      __syncthreads();
      if (t % (2*stride) == 0)
          partialSum[t] += partialSum[t+stride];
  }
  ```

  BAD: Divergence due to interleaved branch decisions
A better implementation

• Assume we have already loaded array into
  
  `__shared__ float partialSum[]`

  ```
  unsigned int t = threadIdx.x;
  for (unsigned int stride = blockDim.x;
       stride > 1; stride >>= 1)
  {
    __syncthreads();
    if (t < stride)
      partialSum[t] += partialSum[t+stride];
  }
  ```
No Divergence until < 16 sub-sums

Thread 0

0 1 2 3 … 13 14 15 16 17 18 19

0+16

15+31
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Some Observations About the New Implementation

- Only the last 5 iterations will have divergence
- Entire warps will be shut down as iterations progress
  - For a 512-thread block, 4 iterations to shut down all but one warps in each block
  - Better resource utilization, will likely retire warps and thus blocks faster
- Recall, no bank conflicts either
A Potential Further Refinement
but bad idea

- For last 6 loops only one warp active (i.e. tid’s 0..31)
  - Shared reads & writes SIMD synchronous within a warp
  - So skip __syncthreads() and

    unsigned int tid = threadIdx.x;
    for (unsigned int d = n>>1; d > 32; d >>= 1) {
        __syncthreads();
        if (tid < d)
            shared[tid] += shared[tid + d];
    }
    __syncthreads();
    if (tid <= 32) {  // unroll last 6 predicated steps
        shared[tid] += shared[tid + 32];
        shared[tid] += shared[tid + 16];
        shared[tid] += shared[tid + 8];
        shared[tid] += shared[tid + 4];
        shared[tid] += shared[tid + 2];
        shared[tid] += shared[tid + 1];
    }

This would not work properly is warp size decreases; need __synchthreads() between each statement!
However, having __synchthreads() in if statement is problematic.
Predicated Execution Concept

\[
<\text{p1}> \quad \text{LDR } r1, r2, 0
\]

- If \( \text{p1} \) is TRUE, instruction executes normally
- If \( \text{p1} \) is FALSE, instruction treated as NOP
Predication Example

if (x == 10)
c = c + 1;

LDR r5, X
p1 <- r5 eq 10
<p1> LDR r1 <- C
<p1> ADD r1, r1, 1
<p1> STR r1 -> C
Predication very helpful for if-else
If-else example

\[
p_1, p_2 \leftarrow r_5 \text{ eq } 10
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
&p_1 \text{ inst } 1 \text{ from } B \\
&p_2 \text{ inst } 1 \text{ from } C \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
&p_1 \text{ inst } 2 \text{ from } B \\
&p_2 \text{ inst } 2 \text{ from } C \\
\end{align*}
\]

The cost is extra instructions will be issued each time the code is executed. However, there is no branch divergence.
Instruction Predication in G80

- Comparison instructions set condition codes (CC)
- Instructions can be predicated to write results only when CC meets criterion (CC != 0, CC >= 0, etc.)

- Compiler tries to predict if a branch condition is likely to produce many divergent warps
  - If guaranteed not to diverge: only predicates if < 4 instructions
  - If not guaranteed: only predicates if < 7 instructions

- May replace branches with instruction predication

- ALL predicated instructions take execution cycles
  - Those with false conditions don’t write their output
    - Or invoke memory loads and stores
  - Saves branch instructions, so can be cheaper than serializing divergent paths
For more information on instruction predication

“A Comparison of Full and Partial Predicated Execution Support for ILP Processors,”
S. A. Mahlke, R. E. Hank, J.E. McCormick, D. I. August, and W. W. Hwu


Also available in Readings in Computer Architecture, edited by Hill, Jouppi, and Sohi, Morgan Kaufmann, 2000