Other emerging dAFM techniques

- Multi-frequency AFM
- Sub-surface imaging
- High-speed/video rate AFM
Compositional contrast in liquids

- Van Noort et al, (Langmuir, 1999)
- Preiner, Hinterdorfer et al (PRL, 2007) Second harmonic
- Xu, Melcher, Raman, Reifenberger (PRL, 2009) Momentary Excitation
Higher harmonic imaging in liquids

Figure 8. (a) Topography image of 700 bp DNA, scan area 250 × 250 nm², height range 4 nm. (b) Driving frequency, amplitude range 3.5–4.5 nm. (c) Second harmonic, amplitude range 0.5–0.75 nm. (d) Third harmonic, amplitude range 0–0.25 nm.

Van Noort et al, 15, (Langmuir, 1999)
Higher harmonic imaging in liquids

FIG. 3 (color). High resolution on a bacterial S-layer. Second harmonic images were recorded using an external Lock-in amplifier (SR 830 DSP, Stanford Research Systems, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). (a) Simultaneous recorded topography (left panel) and second harmonic image (right panel). Substructures within the unit cell can be clearly observed (resolution ~0.5 nm). Scansize: 210 × 175 nm²; color code: 0–0.9 nm, 0–0.5 V.

Preiner, Hinterdorfer et al, PRL, 99, 2007, also Ultramicroscopy, 2009
Momentary excitation - experiments


Experiments: rectangular lever (0.3 N/m), $A_0 = 12$ nm, DI water

Experiments:
- 0.3 N/m rectangular lever
- 0.1 N/m triangular lever

Tip displacement (nm)

Time (s)

Mica
Momentary excitation–theory

Decomposed cantilever motion $A/A_0 = 0.95$

- Momentary excitation is greater on stiffer samples

Cantilevers:
- Olympus Biolever ($k_1 = 0.036$, $k_2 = 1.4$, $Q_1 = 1.2$, $Q_2 = 2$, $\omega_1 = 9.3$ kHz, $\omega_2 = 71$ kHz).
- MAClever: $k_1 = 0.11$ N/m, $k_2 = 8$ N/m, $Q_1 = 1.6$, $Q_2 = 4.3$, $\omega_1 = 3.5$ kHz, $\omega_2 = 30$ kHz
Momentarily Excited (ME) Harmonics

Simulations: MAClever, $A_0=15\text{nm}$, $A/A_0=0.92$

ME (momentarily excited) harmonics!
Application to elasticity mapping


![Graphs and diagrams showing the application of elasticity mapping.](image-url)
Higher Harmonic Imaging

Experiment: purple membrane on mica, $k_1=0.11$ N/m, $A_0=15$nm, $A_{set}$

ME harmonics show high contrast!
Elasticity contrast for soft samples


$k_1 = 0.11 \text{ N/m}, A_0 = 12.5 \text{ nm}, A_{\text{setpoint}} = 92\%, \text{ buffer: 300 mM KCl, 20 mM Tris-H}$
Phase contrast imaging in liquids

- Phase contrast is a measure of energy lost during interaction with the sample.
- Momentary excitation is a form of energy loss!
- Momentary excitation is larger on stiff samples.

For soft levers in liquids: Phase contrast images = local elasticity maps
Experimental data

Melcher et al. (PNAS 2009)

Purple Membrane on mica substrate
buffer solution: 300 mM KCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.8
Levers: $k_1 = 0.58, 0.09$ N/m, acoustic excitation
Biolever: $k_1 = 0.03$, acoustic excitation

Cryo-EM reconstruction
Topography
Phase lag

$\phi$29 virus capsid on a glass substrate
buffer: TMS pH 7.8
Bimodal or dual AC

Key insight is that the second mode $A_2$, $\phi_2$ varies in time.

Thus $\phi_2$ not only measures dissipation but also conservative tip-sample interactions!

It becomes possible to see material contrast in the attractive regime!

Rodriguez and Garcia, APL, 84(3), 2004
Lozano and Garcia, PRL, 100(7), 2008
Lozano, Garcia, PRB, 79(1), 2009
R. Proksch, APL, 89(11), 2006
Multi-frequency AFM

- Bimodal or dual AC

![Diagram of multi-frequency AFM](image)

Figure 1. Comparison between amplitude modulation and bimodal AFM. (a) AM-AFM (monomodal excitation). (b) Bimodal AFM. (c) Schematics of the bimodal AFM instrument. The bimodal excitation/detection unit performs the multifrequency excitation and the multicomponent signal processing while the control unit runs the feedback.

Martinez et al, Nanotechnology, 19, 2008
Multi-frequency AFM

- Bimodal or dual AC

Figure 3. Comparison between AM-AFM and bimodal AFM images of IgG antibodies. (a) Topography and (b) phase images of an IgG obtained in AM-AFM. (c) Tip oscillation in AM-AFM (top) and bimodal AFM (bottom). (d) Topography in bimodal AFM. (e) Phase shift image of the first mode in bimodal AFM. (f) Bimodal AFM phase image (second mode) of the same antibody. The image shows a Y-shaped object.

Figure 7. (a) Bimodal AFM phase images (second mode) of IgM antibodies in water. The objects that show a pentagonal shape are marked by circles. The inset shows the frequency spectrum of a commercial cantilever in water. The dashed lines indicate the frequencies of the first and second flexural modes of the cantilever. They were determined by measuring the thermal noise spectrum. (b) Topography of an isolated antibody. (c) First mode phase image and (d) bimodal AFM phase image (second mode) of the same antibody.

Martinez et al, Nanotechnology, 19, 2008
Multi-frequency AFM

- Bimodal or dual AC

FIG. 2. (Color online) HOPG graphite surface, 30 μm scan. The cantilever was driven at its fundamental (∼69.5 kHz) and second eigenfrequency (∼405 kHz). (a) shows the topography and (b) is the fundamental amplitude channel, used for the feedback error signal. The fundamental phase image (c) shows an average phase lag of ∼34° indicating that the cantilever was in repulsive mode for the entire image. The second mode amplitude is shown in (d). The three dimensional rendered topography colored with the second mode amplitude is shown in (e). This method of display allows easy spatial correlation of the two channels.

FIG. 3. (Color online) Dense mat of DNA imaged in buffer, 750 nm scan. The 60 μm Bio-Lever was driven at its fundamental resonance (∼8.5 kHz) and at its second mode (∼55 kHz). The topography (a), fundamental amplitude (b), and fundamental phase (c) all show very little differentiated contrast. The second mode amplitude (d) shows clear, high contrast images of what appear to be strands of DNA molecules. The second mode amplitude was painted onto the three dimensional rendered topography (e) to allow spatial correlation of the two data channels.
Subsurface imaging using ultrasound

- Heterodyning principle for AFM first developed by Cuberes, Kolosov, Briggs (Oxford)
- Later used by Dravid et al. (Northwestern), and Passian and Thundat (Oakridge)

Tip-sample gap $d(t) = (.\cos(f_s t) + (.\cos(f_p t)$

Tip-sample force $F_{ts}$ is a nonlinear function of $d$, so it contains frequency components including $f_s-f_p$

Measure amplitude and phase of $f_s-f_p$ signal
Subsurface imaging using ultrasound

Nanoscale Imaging of Buried Structures via Scanning Near-Field Ultrasound Hol...
Gajendra S Shekhawat; Vinayak P Dravid
Science; Oct 7, 2005; 310, 5745; Research Library Core pg. 89

Fig. 3. (A) Schematic of the model trial sample for detecting embedded defects/voiding in shallow trenches. (B) Typical AFM topography image shows a coating of low-dielectric material [benzocyclobutene (BCB)], 500 nm thick, uniformly covering the trenches. Trench width is ~400 nm and height is ~1 μm. (C) Phase image of SNF-UH clearly reveals the surface elastic contrast and embedded voiding in polymer coating over nitride and hardening of it at the trench walls, a result of its curing. This is evident from the contrast at the trench walls. (D) The line profile across the void, marked (A) in (C). Reversal of high-elastic phase reversal is achieved.

Fig. 4. AFM topography images (A and C) and SNF-UH phase images (B and D) of malaria-infected RBCs. In (A) and (B), obtained after 24 hours of incubation, the topography image shows typical surface features of RBCs with scan size of 10 μm by 10 μm, whereas the SNF-UH phase image shows remarkable contrast from parasites inside the RBC at nanoscale spatial resolution. The images in (C) and (D) show early-stage parasite infection after 4 hours of incubation.

Case 1: No subsurface feature is present
Case 2: A subsurface feature is present
Imaging nanoparticles in cells by nanomechanical holography
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Figure 3 Nanoparticles detected inside red blood cells. a–d, AFM topography (a,c) and SNFUH phase (b,d) images of erythrocytes obtained from the BAL fluid of an SWCNH-exposed mouse 24 h post-aspiration. e,f, Profiles taken along \( \Gamma_1 \) and \( \Gamma_2 \) across nanohorns buried in c and d, respectively, show that SNFUH can resolve nanoparticles that are inside the cells.

Figure 1 Intracellular imaging of aspirated nanoparticles using ultrasonic holography. The signal access module (SAM) provides the instantaneous location of the reflected laser beam as monitored by a position-sensitive detector (PSD). The dynamics of the cantilever is presented at the input of a lock-in amplifier. The local perturbation in the coupled oscillations of the ultrasonic-driven microcantilever–macrophage system is monitored with the lock-in using the difference frequency \( f_c-f_s \) as reference. By mapping the strength of the coupling in a scanned area of the cell, a phase image emerges that contains information on the buried SWCNHs.
High-speed AFM

T. Ando et al, Kanazawa (Pflugers Archiv, 2008)

Fig. 3 Movement of kinesin–gelsolin along a microtubule. The number attached to each image indicates the time (s) elapsed after imaging began. The arrowheads point at kinesin–gelsolin. Scale bar, 100 nm; imaging rate, 0.64 s/frame.

M. J. Miles, Bristol, (APL, 86, 2005)

- Infinitesima Inc.

Fig. 7 Three-dimensional images of actin filament sliding movement captured by high-speed AFM. The number attached to each image indicates the time (s) elapsed after imaging began. Scale bar 30 nm, imaging rate, 180 ms/frame.

FIG. 1. A schematic of the HSAFM. The sample is mounted on a quartz crystal resonator that generates the fast scan axis and is driven in the orthogonal slow scan axis by a piezo actuator. An optical lever is used to measure the deflection of the microcantilever. An additional “direct force” is applied to the end of the cantilever, forcing the tip to maintain contact with the surface. By tuning the magnitude of the “direct force” and the degree of damping of the cantilever, a high bandwidth passive feedback loop is created.

FIG. 2. The images show the surface of crystallized poly(ethylene-oxide) (PEO) on a glass substrate collected using both conventional AFM and HSAFM. (a) and (d) were collected using HSAFM over a period of 14.3 and 15.8 ms with a tip velocity in the center of the image of 22.4 and 16.8 cm/s, respectively (128 × 128 pixels). (b) and (e) show the same area of the sample as image (a) and were collected using conventional AFM displaying the height and deflection of the cantilever (error signal), respectively. (c) and (f) show the same area of the sample as image (d) and were collected using conventional AFM displaying the height and deflection of the cantilever (error signal), respectively. The surface of the soft polymer sample showed no degradation after repeated imaging using both conventional and high-speed AFM. Scales bars are 1 μm. Black to white in (b) represents 200 nm, and in (c) represents 180 nm. The information in the HSAFM images are a combination of topographic height and slope information, so the z scale does not have a defined unit of calibration.