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3) MONTE CARLO AND PATH INTEGRAL METHOLS

The path integral method for solving the BTE is a rather useful and intuitive procedure for describing the Monte carlo method. In its general form, the BTE is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} & +\overrightarrow{V^{\prime}} \cdot \nabla_{r} f+(-t) \vec{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_{p} f=\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right|_{c o l l} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{\vec{p}}[S_{i}(\vec{p}, \vec{p}) f(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, t)-\underbrace{S_{i}(\vec{p}, \vec{p}) f(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, t)}_{i}]
\end{aligned}
$$

scattering into state $\vec{p}$ scattering out of state $\vec{p}$
This form of the BTE is valid for non-degenerate semiconductors. The collision integral on the RHS can also be expressed as ;

$$
\text { RUS } \left.=\sum_{\vec{p}^{\prime}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} S_{i}(\vec{p}, \vec{p}) f\left(\vec{r}, \overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}, t\right)-f(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, t) \sum_{\vec{p}} \sum^{\frac{1}{i=1} S_{i}(\vec{p})} \begin{array}{l}
\text { total scattering rate } \\
\text { out of state } \vec{p}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Hence: } \begin{aligned}
\text { RUS } & =\sum_{\vec{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} S_{i}(\vec{p} ; \vec{p}) f(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, t)-f(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, t)\left[\frac{1}{U(\vec{p})}+\Omega(\vec{p})\right]+f(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, t) \Omega(\vec{p}) \\
& =\sum_{\vec{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} S_{i}(\vec{p}, \vec{p}) f(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, t)-\Gamma(\vec{p}) f(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, t)+\sum_{\vec{p}} \sum^{\prime} f(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, t) \Omega(\vec{p}) \delta_{\vec{p}}, \vec{p}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

In this last expression, we have added a term $2(\vec{p})$ such that the total scattering rate out of a state $\vec{p}$ is constant. To understand the meaning of this term, we need to go backwards, i.e. write $P(\vec{p})$ as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma(\vec{p})=\frac{1}{\tau(\vec{p})}+\Omega(\vec{p}) & =\sum_{\vec{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} S_{i}\left(\vec{p}, \overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}\right)+\sum_{\vec{p}^{\prime}} \Omega(\vec{p}) \delta \overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}, \overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}= \\
& =\sum_{\overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} S_{i}\left(\overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}, \overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}\right)+\Omega(\vec{p}) \delta_{\vec{p}}, \overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

We now define an effective transition rate:

$$
S^{e f f}\left(\vec{p}, \overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{N} S(\vec{p}, \vec{p})+\Omega(\vec{p}) \partial \vec{p}, \vec{p}^{\prime}
$$

which consists of the sure of the $N$ physical transition rates plus a term that has a momentuue conserving $\delta$-function. This second term has no effect on the carrier momentous and energy and is a fictitios scattering process called self-scatterine. The selfscattering can be calculated from:

$$
\Gamma(\vec{p})=\frac{1}{\tau(\vec{p})}+\Omega(\vec{p}) \Rightarrow \Omega(\vec{p})=\Gamma(\vec{p})-\frac{1}{\tau(\vec{p})}
$$

$\frac{1}{c(\vec{p})}$


With the above definitions for the sey-scattering term, the BTE becomes.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}+\vec{v} \cdot \nabla_{r} f+(-e) \vec{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla_{p} f+\Gamma(\vec{p}) f(\vec{r}, \vec{p}, t)= \\
& \quad=\sum_{p^{\prime}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} S_{i}\left(\overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}, \vec{p}\right)+\left(\Gamma(\vec{p})-\frac{1}{\tau(\vec{p})}\right) \overrightarrow{\partial_{\vec{p}}, \overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}}\right] f\left(\vec{r}, \overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}, t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

For homogeneous samples, the BTE becomes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}+(-e) \vec{\varepsilon} \nabla_{p} f+\Gamma(\vec{p}) f(\vec{p}, t)=\tilde{I}(\vec{p}, t)= \\
& =\sum_{\vec{p}}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} S_{i}\left(\overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}, \vec{p}\right)+\left(\Gamma(\vec{p})-\frac{1}{\tau(\vec{p})}\right) \partial_{\vec{p}}, \vec{p}^{\prime}\right] f(\vec{p}, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

In this last formulation of the BTE, the coordinate space (phase space) Is fixed and the electrons move along given trajectory in response to the applied forces. With the introduction of the variable

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{t}=t \\
\tilde{p}=\vec{p}+e \vec{\varepsilon} t
\end{array}\right.
$$

we go to a description in which electrons are frozen in their positions and the coordinate system is moving. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \frac{\partial \tilde{t}}{\partial t}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial \tilde{p}} \frac{\partial \tilde{p}}{\partial t}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial \tilde{t}}+e \vec{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tilde{p}} \\
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial \vec{p}}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial \tilde{t}} \frac{\partial \tilde{t}}{\partial \vec{p}}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial \tilde{p}} \frac{\partial \vec{p}}{\partial \vec{p}}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial \tilde{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In this notation, the RTE becomes:

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \tilde{t}}+e \vec{\varepsilon} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tilde{p}}+(-e \vec{\varepsilon}) \cdot\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \tilde{p}}\right)+\Gamma f(\tilde{p}-e \vec{\varepsilon} \tilde{t}, \tilde{t})=\tilde{I}(\tilde{p}-e \vec{\varepsilon} \tilde{t}, \tilde{t})
$$

- The solution of the homogeneous equation, of the form:

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \tilde{t}}+\Gamma f(\tilde{p}-e \vec{\varepsilon} \tilde{t}, \tilde{t})^{\prime}=0
$$

- The solution of the homogeneous equation, of the form:

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \tilde{t}}+\Gamma f(\tilde{p}-e \vec{\varepsilon} \tilde{t}, \tilde{t})^{\prime}=0
$$

can be found using the separation of variables wethoo', to be of the fore :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Low: } \\
& \left.\operatorname{le} f(\tilde{p}-e \vec{\varepsilon} t, \tilde{t})\right|_{0} ^{\tilde{t}}=-\Gamma \int_{0}^{\tilde{t}} d \tilde{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

oc: $\quad \ln [f(\tilde{p}-e \vec{\varepsilon} \tilde{t}, \tilde{t}) / f(\tilde{p}, 0)]=-\Gamma \tilde{t}$ to get:

$$
f(\tilde{p}-e \vec{\varepsilon} t, \tilde{t})=f(\tilde{p}, 0) e^{-\Gamma \tilde{t}}
$$

Going back to the original coordinate system gives.

$$
f(\vec{p}+e \vec{\varepsilon} t-e \vec{\varepsilon} t, t)=f(\vec{p}+e \vec{\varepsilon} t, 0) e^{-\Gamma t}
$$

or:

$$
f(\vec{p}, t)=\underbrace{f(\vec{p}+e \vec{\varepsilon} t, 0)}_{\begin{array}{l}
4 \\
\text { initial state of an } \\
\text { electron }
\end{array}} \underbrace{e^{-\Gamma t}}_{L} \text { probability of no scattering }
$$

This term is the transient term. It states that an electron initially in a state $(\vec{p}+e \vec{\varepsilon} t)$ at time $t=0$, has arrived in a state $\vec{p}$ at time $t$ without scattering. This event occurs with a transition probability:

$$
P(\vec{p}, t, 0)=e^{-\Gamma t}, \text { for } \Gamma(\vec{p})=\Gamma=\text { const. }
$$

Note: for general case when $P^{\prime \prime}\left(p^{-3}\right)$ is not constant, we would lave had:

$$
P(\vec{p}, t, 0)=\exp \left[-\int_{0}^{t} \Gamma(\vec{p}) d t^{\prime}\right]
$$

Also note that, if the initial mowentiven of the electron is $(\vec{p}+e \vec{\varepsilon} t)$ because of the drift motion and the acceleration by the electric field. The final electron momentum after trine $t$ equals to.

$$
\vec{p}^{\prime}=\vec{p}+e \vec{\varepsilon} t-e \vec{\varepsilon} t=\vec{p}
$$

- The next flask is to find a general solution of the BTE for houngenears systems. The howogeneas solution suggests that the general solution will also involve exponentials. For this purpose, we offing a function.

$$
f_{1}(\tilde{p}-e \vec{\varepsilon} \tilde{t}, \tilde{t})=f(\tilde{p}-e \vec{\varepsilon} \tilde{t}, \tilde{t}) e^{r \tilde{t}}
$$

which leads to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2 leads to: } \\
& f(\tilde{p}-e \varepsilon \tilde{t}, \tilde{t})=f_{1}(\tilde{p}-e z \tilde{t}, \tilde{t}) e^{-1 \tilde{t}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then:

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \tilde{t}}=\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \tilde{t}} e^{-\Gamma \tilde{t}}+f_{1}(-\Gamma) e^{-\Gamma \tilde{t}}=\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial \tilde{t}} e^{-\Gamma \tilde{t}}-\Gamma f_{1}(\tilde{p}-e \vec{\varepsilon} \hat{t}, \tilde{t}) e^{-\Gamma \tilde{t}}
$$

Substituting this result back ind the BTE gives.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tilde{t}} e^{-r \tilde{t}}-\Gamma f_{1}(\tilde{p}-\vec{\varepsilon} \tilde{t}, \tilde{t}) e^{-\Gamma \tilde{t}}+\Gamma_{1}(\tilde{p}-\vec{\varepsilon} \vec{\varepsilon} \tilde{t}, \tilde{t}) e^{-\Gamma \tilde{t}}=\tilde{I}(\tilde{p}-e \vec{\varepsilon} \tilde{t}, \tilde{t}) \\
& \text { or: } \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tilde{t}}=e^{\Gamma \tilde{t}} \tilde{I}(\tilde{p}-e \vec{\varepsilon} \tilde{t}, \tilde{t})
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving this last equation for $f_{1}$ finally leads to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.f_{1}(\tilde{p}-e \vec{\varepsilon} \tilde{t}, \tilde{t})\right|_{0} ^{\tilde{t}}=\int_{0}^{\tilde{t}} \tilde{t_{1}} e^{r \tilde{t}} \tilde{I}\left(\tilde{p}-e \vec{\varepsilon} \tilde{t_{1}}, \tilde{t}_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { Hence: } \\
f(\tilde{p}-e \vec{\varepsilon} \tilde{t}, \tilde{t})=f(\tilde{p}, 0) e^{n \tilde{t}}+\int_{0}^{\tilde{t}} d \tilde{t}, e^{-n\left(\tilde{t}-\tilde{t}_{1}\right)} \hat{I}\left(\tilde{p}-e \vec{\varepsilon} \tilde{t}_{1}, \tilde{t}_{1}\right)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Returning back to the original coordinate system gives:

$$
f(\vec{p}+e \vec{f} t-e \vec{f} t, t)=f(\vec{p}+e \vec{\varepsilon} t, 0) e^{-\Gamma t}+\int_{0}^{t} d t_{1} e^{-\Gamma\left(t-t_{1}\right)} \overrightarrow{\tilde{I}}\left(\vec{p}+e \vec{\varepsilon} t-e \vec{\varepsilon} t_{1}, t_{1}\right)
$$

where $\tilde{I}(\vec{p}, t)=\sum_{\vec{p}} \operatorname{Seff}(\vec{p}, \vec{p}) f\left(\overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}, t\right)$. Substituting this bock gives.


This last expression is known as CHAMBERS-REES path integral. Pes venation is the introduction of the fictitious scattering term.

- Ignoring the transient term, one can find the solution of the distribution function using the following iterative procciure that is obtained by true discretization, ie. using $t=N \Delta t$, and $t_{n}=n \Delta t$. Then

$$
f_{N}(\vec{p})=\Delta t \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \underbrace{\sum_{p^{\prime}} f_{m}\left(\overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}\right) \operatorname{Seff}\left(\overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}, \vec{p}+e \vec{\varepsilon}(N-m) \Delta t\right)}_{g_{m}(\vec{p}+e \vec{\varepsilon}(N-m) \Delta t)} \underbrace{-\Gamma(N-m) \Delta t}
$$

The two step procedure is then found by using $N=1$, which wears hat $t=\Delta t$, ie.

$$
f_{1}(\vec{p})=\Delta t \underbrace{\sum_{\vec{p}} f_{0}\left(\overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}\right) \operatorname{Seff}\left(\overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}, \vec{p}+e \vec{\varepsilon} \Delta t\right)}_{g_{0}(\vec{p}+e \vec{\varepsilon} \Delta t)} e
$$

intermediate function that describes the occupancy of a state ( $\vec{\beta}+e \vec{\varepsilon} \Delta t)$ at time $t=0$, which can be changed due to in -scattering events. (scatter)

Now, assume that ' $t=2 \Delta t$. This then gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{2}(\vec{p})= \Delta t \sum_{m=0} \sum_{\overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}} f_{m}\left(\vec{p}^{\prime}\right) \operatorname{Seff}\left(\mathrm{p}^{\prime}, \vec{p}+e \vec{\varepsilon}(2-m) \Delta t\right) e^{-\Gamma(2-m) \Delta t} \\
&= \Delta t \sum_{\left.\overrightarrow{p^{\prime}} f_{0}\left(\overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}\right) \operatorname{Seff}\left(\vec{p}^{\prime}\right) \vec{p}+0 \vec{\varepsilon} 2 \Delta t\right) e^{-\Gamma(2 \Delta t)}+}+ \\
&=\Delta t \sum_{\overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}} f_{1}\left(\overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}\right) \operatorname{Seff}\left(p^{\prime}, \vec{p}+e \vec{\varepsilon} \Delta t\right) e^{-\Gamma \Delta t} \\
&= \Delta t\left\{\sum_{p^{\prime}} f_{0}\left(\overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}\right) S_{e f f}\left(\overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}, \vec{p}+e \vec{\varepsilon}(2 \Delta t)\right) e^{-\Gamma(2 \Delta t)}+\right. \\
&\left.+\sum_{p^{\prime}} f_{1}\left(\overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}\right) S_{e f f}\left(\overrightarrow{p^{\prime}}, \vec{p}+e \vec{\varepsilon} \Delta t\right) e^{-\Gamma^{\prime \prime} \Delta t}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

These examples suggest that the evaluation of $f_{n+1}(\vec{p})$ involves integration over trajectories, and the exponential factors just give the probability Hat no scattering has oxsured.

DESCRIPTION OF THE SINGLE-PARTICLE MONTE CARLO
(a) Length of the particle free-flights:

The electron wavevector changes continuously during free -flight because of the applies' electric field'. Hence, if $P[k(t)] d t$ is the probability that an electron in a state $\vec{k}$ suffers a collision during the time interval alt, the probability that an electron which had a collision at true $t=0$ has not yet undergone another collision after time $t$ is:

$$
e^{-\int_{0}^{t} p\left[\vec{k}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right] d t^{\prime}}
$$

Therefore, the probability $P(t)$ that the electron will miler its nor adlision during oft around $t$ is given by:

$$
P(t) a t=P[k(t)] \exp \left[-\int_{0}^{t} P\left[\vec{k}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right] d t\right] d t
$$

Therefore, the probability $P(t)$ that the electron will rifer its not collision during oft around $t$ is, given by:

$$
P(t) d t=P[k(t)] \exp \left[-\int_{0}^{t} P\left[\vec{k}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right] d t^{\prime}\right] d t
$$

Now, if $\Gamma$ is the uaxiumu value of $P[\vec{k}]$ in the region of $k$-space of interest. Thee $P[\hbar(t)]=\Gamma$ and:

$$
P(t) d t=\Gamma e^{-\Gamma t} d t
$$

The integrated probability owsity is:

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \Gamma e^{-\Gamma t} d t=-\left.e^{-\Gamma t}\right|_{0} ^{\infty}=1 \quad \text {; which should have been }
$$ expected.

# Single Particle and Ensemble Monte Carlo 

Dragica Vasileska Professor Arizona State University

## Kinetic Transport

- We use $N$ particles, which are a representation of the total particles in our region of interest
- This provides a phase space of $6 \mathbf{N}$ dimensions
- A phase space distribution function has these $6 N$ variables
- Our "normal" Boltzmann distribution has only 6 variables (plus time)
- The configuration of $N$ particles in 6 variable space gives us a 1-particle distribution (probability) in configuration space
- The projection from a 6N distribution in phase space to a single particle distribution in configuration space is a difficult problem in statistical physics (e.g., BBGKY heirarchy), and uncoupling the projections requires assumptions.


## Equilibrium and Nonequilibrium Kinetic Transport

$>$ (Near) Equilibrium transport: almost no change in the one particle distribution, or in the correlation functions
$>$ Non-equilibrium transport: significant changes in the one particle distribution function-the system is no longer stationary, and the Einstein relation is violated.... In particular, the "ergodic theorem" is no longer correct (time averages are no longer equivalent to ensemble averages; only the latter are correct).

## Monte Carlo Method Described

## 1. Some General <br> Considerations

There are basically two types of Monte Carlo simulations:

* Statistical systems in which an energy functional is minimized (simulated annealing, etc.)
* Kinetic transport in which particle flow is studied (von Neumann neutron transport in '45, Kurosawa electron transport ‘ $65, .$. )

This is the approach we use in device modeling and carrier transport in semiconductors.

It is related to the mathematical use of Monte Carlo to integrate a function.

## BTE Solution

- The Monte Carlo method is a stochastic method for solving the Boltzmann Transport equation.
- Semiclassical particle motion is assumed to be decomposed into:
- free flights (subject to external forces)

$$
\mathrm{k}(t)=\mathrm{k}(0)-e(\mathrm{v} \times \mathrm{B}+\mathrm{E}) t / \hbar
$$

- Instantaneous, memory-less, scattering events (Elastic, inelastic, intercarrier, electron-photon, etc.)


## References:

1) C. Jacoboni and L. Reggiani, Rev. Mod. Phys. 55, no. 3, pp. 645-705, 1983
2) C. Jacoboni and P. Lugli, The Monte Carlo Method for Semiconductor Device Simulation, 1990

## Particle Trajectories in Phase Space



Particle trajectories in $\mathbf{k}$-space and real space

## Monte Carlo Integration

(M. H. Kalas and P. A. Whitlock, "Monte Carlo Methods," John Wiley, 1986)
Suppose we want to integrate: $g(x)=A e^{-(x / L)^{2}} 0<x<B$

## Monte Carlo Algorithm:

- Define ceiling function

$$
g(x)=A
$$

- Generate pairs of random numbers: $r_{1}, r_{1}^{\prime}$

If $A r_{1}>g\left(B r_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ reject If $A r_{2}<g\left(B r_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ accept

- Ratio of accepted to total times area $A B$ is integral



## Monte Carlo Algorithm

Typical algorithm for accomplishing this goal is:

```
acceptnum=0
do 10 i=1,nsampltot
    xval=rand( )*Bmax
    yval=rand( )*Amax
    gy=Amas*exp(-(xval/Lg)**2)
    if (gy.gt.yval) acceptnum=acceptnum+1
10 continue
area=Amax*Bmax*acceptnum/nsampltot
```

Here rand( ) is a generic call to a random number generator (either intrinsic or subroutine). Ideally it produces a uniformly distributed random number between 0 and 1

## Monte Carlo Integration of a Function

Consider the integral

$$
g=\int_{a}^{b} F(x) d x
$$

A Monte Carlo approach uses random numbers, say 10 chosen in the interval

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{i} \subset[a, b] \rightarrow x_{i} & =a+r_{i}(b-a) \\
r_{i} & \subset[0,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
g \cong(b-a) \frac{1}{10} \sum_{i=1}^{10} F\left(x_{i}\right)
$$

Various weightings can be used (preferentially choose $x_{i}$ in regions where $F$ is large) to improve the estimate of $g$.

## Direct Technique

- If $P(r)$ is a uniform distribution between 0 and 1 then:

$$
r=\int_{0}^{r} d r^{\prime} P\left(r^{\prime}\right)=F=\int_{a}^{x_{t}} f(x) d x / \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x
$$

where $x_{r}$ is a random number sampled from $f(x) . x_{r}$ is found by inverting this integration.

- Example, for constant $f(x)$ is given below:

$$
r=\left(x_{r}-a\right) /(b-a) \quad \text { or } \quad x_{r}=a+r(b-a)
$$

## Rejection Technique

- For most cases of interest, the integral cannot be easily inverted. As in the case of Monte Carlo integration, a rejection technique may be employed.
- Choose a maximum value $C$, such that $C>f(x)$ for all $x$ in the interval ( $a, b$ ).
- As in the case of Monte Carlo integration, pairs of random numbers are chosen, one between $a$ and $b$

$$
x_{1}=a+r_{1}(b-a)
$$

and another between 0 and $C$ :

$$
f_{1}=r_{1}^{\prime} C
$$

- If

$$
f_{1} \leq f\left(x_{1}\right)
$$

the number $x_{1}$ is accepted as a suitable sample, otherwise it is rejected.


## Combined Technique

- If the probability function is singular in nature, the simple rejection technique with a constant ceiling function may be inefficient. If a ceiling function may be defined such that

$$
K g(x) \geq f(x)
$$

over the range of interest, and random numbers may be sample from $g$ using the direct technique, then a combined technique may be used, where if:

$$
r_{1} K g\left(x_{1}\right) \leq f\left(x_{1}\right)
$$

the random number $x_{1}$ is accepted.


Averages of important parameters are evaluated periodically by ensemble averages:

$$
\langle A\rangle=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i \subset N} A_{i}
$$

This average may be position dependent:

$$
\langle A(x)\rangle=\frac{1}{N(x)} \sum_{i \subset R(x)} A_{i}
$$

The ensemble average evolves with time, and is the parametrically described distribution function.

## Example: Velocity of Hot Carriers in GaN



## The Monte Carlo simulation:

The weightings of initial distributions, and scattering processes assures that the ensemble evolves according to a master equation with collisions-the scattering probabilities provide the correct weightings for the collision integrals.

On the short-time basis, the proper equation is the Prigogine-Resibois equation (not the Boltzmann equation), but on the long-time limit, this evolves into the Boltzmann equation.

# Monte Carlo Method Described 

2. Generation of the random flight time

## Generation of Random Flight Times

* The probability of an electron scattering in a small time interval $d t$ is $\Gamma(\mathbf{k}) d t$, where $\Gamma(\mathbf{k})$ is the total transition rate per unit time. Time dependence originates from the change in $\mathbf{k}(t)$ during acceleration by external forces

$$
\mathbf{k}(t)=\mathbf{k}(0)-e(\mathbf{E}+\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) t / \hbar
$$

where $\boldsymbol{v}$ is the velocity of the particle.

* The probability that an electron has not scattered after scattering at $t=0$ is:

$$
P_{n}(t)=e^{-\int_{0}^{t} d t^{\prime} \Gamma\left(\mathbf{k}\left(t^{\prime}\right)\right)}
$$

* It is this (unnormalized) probability that we utilize as a non-uniform distribution of free flight times over a semi-infinite interval 0 to $\infty$. We want to sample random flight times from this non-uniform distribution using uniformly distributed random numbers over the interval 0 to 1 , corresponding to typical numerical random number generators.


## Generation of Random Flight Times

Hence, we choose a random number


We have a problem with this integral!
We solve this by introducing a new, fictitious scattering process which does not change energy or momentum:

$$
\Gamma_{s s}(k)=\Gamma_{S}(E) \delta\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}-\mathbf{x}\right) \delta\left(\mathbf{k}^{\prime}-\mathbf{k}\right)
$$

## Generation of Random Flight Times



$$
\Gamma_{n e w}=\Gamma_{0}
$$

$$
\Gamma_{s s}(k) \equiv \Gamma_{0}-\sum_{\substack{\text { real } \\ \text { scatterers }}} \Gamma_{i}(k)
$$

## Self-Scattering

- The use of the full integral form of the free-flight probability density function is tedious (unless $\mathbf{k}$ is invariant during the free flight).
- The introduction of self-scattering (Rees, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 30, 643, 1969) simplifies the procedure considerably.
- The properties of the self-scattering mechanism are that it does not change either the energy or the momentum of the particle.
- The self-scattering rate adjusts itself in time so that the total scattering rate is constant. Under these circumstances, one has that:

$$
\Gamma=\Gamma(\mathrm{k}(t))+\Gamma_{\text {self }}(\mathrm{k}(t)) \quad P(t) d t=\Gamma e^{-\int_{0}^{-} d t \Gamma} d t=\Gamma e^{-\Gamma t} d t
$$

## Self-Scattering (cont'd)

- Random flight times $t_{r}$ may be generated from $P(t)$ above using the direct method to get:

$$
r=e^{-\Gamma t_{r}} \quad t_{r}=-\frac{1}{\Gamma} \ln (1-r)=-\frac{1}{\Gamma} \ln (r)
$$

where $r$ is a uniform random between 0 and 1 (and therefore $r$ and $1-r$ are the same).

- $\Gamma$ must be chosen (a priori) such that $\Gamma>\Gamma(\mathbf{k}(t))$ during the entire flight.
- Choosing a new $t_{r}$ after every collision generates a random walk in k -space over which statistics concerning the occupancy of the various states $\mathbf{k}$ are collected.


# Monte Carlo Method Described 

3. Free-Flight Scatter Sequence

An ensemble Monte Carlo approach is used by considering $N$ particles simultaneously


However, we need a second time scale, which provides the times at which the ensemble is "stopped" and averages are computed.

圆 = collisions

An ensemble Monte Carlo approach is used by considering $N$ particles simultaneously, and introducing a time step, $\Delta t$, at which the motion of all the particles is synchronized


> 圆 = collisions

Observer time scale
$t_{s}$
In this approach, we will always reference $t_{i}$ to the current time $t_{s}$.



There are now 2 possibilities!


This keeps $\boldsymbol{t}_{\boldsymbol{i}}$ referenced to the current time $\boldsymbol{t}_{\boldsymbol{s}}$.

2


Return to Scatter process, which picks new

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Scatter } \longrightarrow & \mathbf{k}^{\prime}\left(t_{s}+t_{i}\right) \\
& E\left(k^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$




## Before Returning to the Scattering Selection Loop Compute the Averages

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{d}\left(t_{s}\right)=\frac{\hbar}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{k_{i}\left(t_{s}\right)}{m_{c}\left(1+2 E_{i}\left(t_{s}\right) / E_{\text {gap }}\right)} \\
& x_{\text {avg }}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}\left(t_{s}\right) \\
& E_{\text {avg }}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} E_{i}\left(t_{s}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Monte Carlo Method Described

4. Choice of the scattering
terminating free-flight

## Choice of Scattering Event Terminating Free Flight

$>$ At the end of the free flight $t_{j}$, the type of scattering which ends the flight (either real or self-scattering) must be chosen according to the relative probabilities for each mechanism.
$>$ Assume that the total scattering rate for each scattering mechanism is a function only of the energy, $E$, of the particle at the end of the free flight

$$
\Gamma=\Gamma_{\text {self }}(E)+\Gamma_{i}(E)+\Gamma_{a c}(E)+\Gamma_{\text {pop }}(E)+\ldots
$$

$>$ where the rates due to the real scattering mechanisms are typically stored in a lookup table.
$>$ A histogram is formed of the scattering rates, and a random number $r \Gamma$ is used as a pointer to select the right mechanism. This is schematically shown on the next slide.

We can make a table of the scattering processes at the energy of the particle at the scattering time:


Look-up table of scattering rates:
Store the total scattering rates in a table for a grid in energy

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\Gamma_{1}$ | $\Gamma_{3}$ | $\ldots . . . . .$. |  |

Once we have selected a scattering process, we must now find the final state of the carrier, after the scattering event:
> Final state energy $E^{\prime}$ is determined through conservation of energy.
> Azimuthal angle $\phi$ of $\mathbf{k}$ relative to $\mathbf{k}$ ' selected randomly between 0 and $2 \pi$.
> Polar angle $\theta$ is selected
$>$ according to the angular dependence of the scattering cross-section


## Consider phonon emission


minimum $q$

$$
q_{\min }=k-k^{\prime}
$$

maximum $q$

$$
q_{\max }=k+k^{\prime}
$$

If the scattering is isotropic, any state on the final energy shell is equally likely, and $\theta$ is chosen randomly between 0 and $\pi$.

## Coulomb Scattering is Anisotropic

 (Polar LO phonons, impurities, carrier-carrier...)$$
\begin{aligned}
P(\vartheta) & \sim \frac{1}{q^{2}}=\frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right|^{2}} \\
& =\frac{2 m^{* /} \hbar^{2}}{E(k)+E\left(k^{\prime}\right)-\sqrt{E(k) E\left(k^{\prime}\right)} \cos \vartheta} \\
E\left(k^{\prime}\right) & =E(k) \pm \hbar \omega_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

This assumes parabolic bands. For non-parabolic bands (hyperbolic bands), we make the replacement:

$$
E(x) \rightarrow E(x)\left\lfloor 1+2 E(x) / E_{g a p}\right\rfloor
$$

Below is an example given for the choice of the polar angle for POP scattering:

$$
\Gamma_{P O P}(\theta) d \theta \sim \frac{\sin (\theta) d \theta}{\left(E+E^{\prime}-2 \sqrt{E E^{\prime}} \cos \theta\right)}
$$



We may find $\theta$ by two methods: (1) a rejection technique, or (2) direct integration.

## Rejection Technique

We choose two new random numbers $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$.


If $\Gamma\left(r_{1} \pi\right)>r_{2} \Gamma_{\text {max }}$, we accept the process with $\theta=r_{1} \pi_{\text {, }}$ otherwise we reject this angle and choose 2 new random numbers and try again.

## Direct Integration for Inelastic Processes

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{r=\frac{0}{\eta} \Gamma\left(\vartheta^{\prime}\right) d \vartheta^{\prime}}^{\int_{0}^{\pi} \Gamma\left(\vartheta^{\prime}\right) d \vartheta^{\prime}}=\frac{\ln \left\{\frac{E(k)+E\left(k^{\prime}\right)-2 \sqrt{E(k) E\left(k^{\prime}\right)} \cos \vartheta}{E(k)+E\left(k^{\prime}\right)-2 \sqrt{E(k) E\left(k^{\prime}\right)}}\right\}}{\ln \left\{\frac{E(k)+E\left(k^{\prime}\right)+2 \sqrt{E(k) E\left(k^{\prime}\right)}}{E(k)+E\left(k^{\prime}\right)-2 \sqrt{E(k) E\left(k^{\prime}\right)}}\right\}} \\
\left\{\frac{E(k)+E\left(k^{\prime}\right)-2 \sqrt{E(k) E\left(k^{\prime}\right) \cos \vartheta}}{\left.E(k)+E\left(k^{\prime}\right)-2 \sqrt{E(k) E\left(k^{\prime}\right)}\right\}}\right\}=\left\{\frac{E(k)+E\left(k^{\prime}\right)+2 \sqrt{E(k) E\left(k^{\prime}\right)}}{E(k)+E\left(k^{\prime}\right)-2 \sqrt{E(k) E\left(k^{\prime}\right)}}\right\}^{r} \\
\cos \vartheta=\frac{(1+\xi)-(1+2 \xi)^{r}}{\xi} \\
\xi=\frac{2 k k^{\prime}}{\left(k-k^{\prime}\right)^{2}}=\frac{2 \sqrt{E(k) E\left(k^{\prime}\right)}}{E(k)+E\left(k^{\prime}\right)-2 \sqrt{E(k) E\left(k^{\prime}\right)}}
\end{gathered}
$$

# Monte Carlo Method Described 

5. Representative Monte Carlo Results

## Transient simulation results for Si



Time evolution of mean drift velocity. Electric field is: (a) $1.0 \mathrm{kV} / \mathrm{cm}$, (b) 5.0 $\mathrm{kV} / \mathrm{cm}$, (c) $10 \mathrm{kV} / \mathrm{cm}$, and (d) $50 \mathrm{kV} / \mathrm{cm}$, respectively.

Time evolution of the average electron kinetic energy. The electric field equals: (a) $1 \mathrm{kV} / \mathrm{cm}$, (b) 5 $\mathrm{kV} / \mathrm{cm}$, (c) $10 \mathrm{kV} / \mathrm{cm}$, and (d) 50 $\mathrm{kV} / \mathrm{cm}$, respectively.


## Steady-state simulation results for Si bulk



Mean drift velocity characteristics with respect to applied electric field. Also shown in this figure are the simulation results by Yamada et al. [1] and Canali [2] experimental data.
[1] T. Yamada, J.-R. Zhou, H. Miyata and D. K. Ferry, Phys. Rev. B, Vol. 49, 1875 (1994).
[2] C. Canali, G. Ottaviani, and A. Alberigi-Quaranta, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, Vol. 32, 1707 (1971).

## Monte Carlo Method Described

6. Code Details Description and Sample Simulation Results for GaAs



## Model Bandstructure for GaAs



Scattering Tables Creation
Define scattering mechanisms for each valley

```
\Gamma-valley table
-Mechanism1
-Mechanism2
- ...
-MechanismN
```

| L-valley table |
| :--- |
| -Mechanism1 |
| -Mechanism2 |
| $-\ldots$ |
| - -Mechanism $N_{L}$ |

```
X-valley table
-Mechanism1
-Mechanism2
- ...
-MechanismN
```



Renormalize scattering tables




Initial carrier wavevector and energy



$$
\begin{aligned}
& e=-\left(1.5^{*} V t\right)^{*} \log (\text { ran(iso) }) \\
& \text { k=smh*sqrt(e*(1.+af*e)) } \\
& \text { fai=two_pi*ran(iso) } \\
& \text { ct=1.-2.*ran(iso) } \\
& \text { st=sqrt(1.-ct*ct) } \\
& k x=k^{*} t^{*} \cos (f a i) \\
& k y=k^{*}{ }^{*}{ }^{*} \sin \text { (fai) } \\
& \text { kz=k*t }
\end{aligned}
$$

1. Isotropic scattering processes

$$
\cos \theta=1-2 r, \quad \varphi=2 \pi r
$$

2. Anisotropic scattering processes (Coulomb, POP)


Step 3: perform scattering

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\cos \theta=\frac{(1+\xi)-(1+2 \xi)^{r}}{\xi}, & \xi=\frac{2 \sqrt{E_{k}\left(E_{k} \pm \hbar \omega_{0}\right)}}{\left(\sqrt{E_{k}}-\sqrt{E_{k} \pm \hbar \omega_{0}}\right)^{2}} \quad \text { POP } \\
\cos \theta=1-\frac{2 r}{1+4 k^{2} L_{D}^{2}(1-r)} & \text { Coulomb }
\end{array}
$$

$\varphi=2 \pi r$ for both
Step 4:
$k_{x p}=k^{\prime} \sin \theta \cos \varphi, k_{y p}=k^{\prime} \sin \Theta^{*} \sin \varphi, k_{z p}=k^{\prime} \cos \theta$
Return back to the original coordinate system:
$k_{x}=k_{x p} \cos \varphi_{o} \cos \theta_{o}-k_{y p} \sin \varphi_{o}+k_{z p} \cos \varphi_{o} \sin \theta_{o}$
$k_{y}=k_{x p} \sin \varphi_{o} \cos \theta_{o}+k_{y p} \cos \varphi_{o}+k_{z p} \sin \varphi_{o} \sin \theta_{o}^{o}$
$k_{z}=-k_{x p} \sin \theta_{o}+k_{z p} \cos \theta_{o}$

Rejection technique for
final angle selection






Initial and final wavevector along the field


Shift in the distribution due to application of electric field.

Initial and final carrier energy


,
Shift in the distribution due to application of electric field.

## Monte Carlo Method Described

7. Higher-order effects

## Inclusion of the Pauli Exclusion Principle

* The influence of the final state on the scattering rate is important at low temperatures and high carrier densities.
* This effect may be included via a self-scattering rejection method (Bosi and Jacoboni, J. Phys. C9, 315 (1976); Lugli and Ferry, IEEE Trans. Elec. Dev. 32, 2431 (1985)).
* The electron (hole) distribution function $f\left(k_{x}, k_{y}, k_{z}\right)$ is updated in $k$-space (on a 2D or a 3D grid).
* Once the final state has been selected, a new random number is generated:
* If $0<r<f(k)$, then self-scattering is assumed to occur with no change of momentum or energy
* If $f(\mathrm{k})<r<1$, then accept the scattering event

Simulation size:
Two-Dimensional System Example

$$
\text { Let } \frac{N}{n_{2 d}}=A=L^{2}
$$

The area per state in $k$-space is


Upon scattering, we increase or decrease the population in the cell, and the latter gives $f(k)$

Some important notes:

- The size of the grid in kspace determines how many electrons may occupy the grid.
- The accuracy improves as the number of particles increases.



Number of states that can be occupied Within one cell is:
$\frac{\Delta k_{x} \Delta k_{y}}{(2 \pi / L)^{2}}$


## Mobility vs. Experimental Data



## Carrier-Carrier Scattering

For two-particle interactions, the electron-electron (hole-hole, electron-hole) scattering rate may be treated as a screened Coulomb interaction (impurity scattering in a relative coordinate system). The total scattering rate depends on the instantaneous distribution function, and is of the form:


There are three methods commonly used for the treatment of the electronelectron interaction:
A. Method due to Lugli and Ferry
B. Rejection algorithm
C. Real-space molecular dynamics

All are complicated!

## Method due to Lugli and Ferry

- This method starts form the assumption that the sum over the distribution function is simply an ensemble average of a given quantity.
- In other words, the scattering rate is defined to be of the form:

$$
\Gamma_{e e}\left(\mathrm{k}_{0}\right)=\frac{n m_{n} e^{4} L_{D}^{2}}{4 \pi \hbar^{3} \varepsilon_{\infty}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\left|\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{k}_{i}\right|}{\mathrm{k}-\left.\mathrm{k}_{i}\right|^{2}+1 / L_{D}^{2}}
$$

- The advantages of this method are:

1. The scattering rate does not require any assumption on the form of the distribution function
2. The method is not limited to steady-state situations, but it is also applicable for transient phenomena, such as femtosecond laser excitations

- The main limitation of the method is the computational cost, since it involves 3D sums over all carriers and the rate depends on $\mathbf{k}$ rather on its magnitude.


## Rejection Algorithm

Within this algorithm, a self-scattering mechanism, internal to the interparticle scattering is introduced by the following substitution:

$$
\frac{\left|\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{k}_{0}\right|}{\left|\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{k}_{0}\right|^{2}+1 / L_{D}^{2}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2 L_{D}}
$$

- When carrier-carrier collision is selected, a counterpart electron is chosen at random from the ensemble.
- Internal rejection is performed by comparing the random number with:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{k}_{0} \mid}{\left|\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{k}_{0}\right|^{2}+1 / L_{D}^{2}}
$$

## Rejection Algorithm (cont'd)

- If the collision is accepted, then the final state is calculated using:

$$
\cos \theta_{r}=1-\frac{2 r}{1+g^{2}(1-r) L_{D}^{2}}, \quad \text { where } \quad \theta_{r}=\text { angle }\left(\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{g}^{\prime}\right)
$$

where:

$$
\mathbf{g}=\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}_{0} ; \quad \mathbf{g}^{\prime}=\mathbf{k}^{\prime}-\mathbf{k}_{0}^{\prime}
$$

The azimuthal angle is then taken at random between 0 and $2 \pi$.

- The final states of the two particles are then calculated using:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{k}_{0}^{\prime} & =\mathbf{k}_{0}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{g}^{\prime}-\mathbf{g}\right) \\
\mathbf{k}^{\prime} & =\mathbf{k}_{0}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{g}^{\prime}-\mathbf{g}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Real-Space Molecular Dynamics

- An alternative to the previously described methods is the real-space treatment proposed by Jacoboni.
- According to this method, at the observation time instant $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{i} \Delta t$, the total force on the electron equals the sum of the interparticle coulomb interaction between a particular electron and the other ( $\mathrm{N}-1$ ) electrons in the ensemble.


## Real-Space Molecular Dynamics

- When implementing this method, several things need to be taken into account:

1. The fact that $N$ electrons are used to represent a carrier density $n=N / V$ means that a simulation volume equals $V=N / n$.
2. Periodic boundary conditions are imposed on this volume, and because of that, care must be taken that the simulated volume and the number of particles are sufficiently large that artificial application from periodic replication of this volume do not appear in the calculation results.

## Real-Space Molecular Dynamics

- Using Newtonian kinematics, the real-space trajectories of each particle are represented as:
and:

$$
\mathbf{r}(t+\Delta t)=\mathbf{r}(t)+\mathbf{v} \Delta t+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbf{F}(t)}{m^{*}} \Delta t^{2}
$$

$$
\mathbf{v}(t+\Delta t)=\mathbf{v}(t)+\frac{\mathbf{F}(t)}{m^{*}} \Delta t
$$

Here, $\mathbf{F}(t)$ is the force arising from the applied field as well as that of the Coulomb interaction:

$$
\mathbf{F}(t)=q\left[\mathbf{E}-\sum_{i} \nabla \varphi\left(\mathbf{r}(t)_{i}\right)\right]
$$

- The contributions due to the periodic replication of the particles inside $V$ in cells outside is represented with the Ewald sum:

$$
\mathbf{F}(t)=-\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi \varepsilon} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}_{i}^{2}} \mathbf{a}_{i}+\frac{2 \pi}{3 V} \mathbf{r}_{i}\right)
$$



The remaining particles are "replicas"

$$
\Delta x=\left(\frac{N}{n}\right)^{1 / 3}
$$

The actual force calculation must be done on a centered box, to avoid artificial drift forces.

So, this requires two boxes in practice: (1) the real box, and (2) a box around each particle.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\text {all }} \frac{1}{r}=\sum_{N} \frac{1}{r}+\sum_{\text {replicas }} \frac{1}{r} \\
&=\sum_{\mathbf{R}_{\text {cell }}} \sum_{N} \frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R}_{\text {cell }}\right|} \\
& \cong \sum_{N} \frac{1}{r}\left[1+\sum_{\mathbf{R}_{\text {cell }}>0} \frac{1}{R_{\text {cell }}}-r \sum_{\mathbf{R}_{\text {cell }}>0} \frac{1}{R_{c e l l}^{2}}+\ldots\right] \\
& \sim f(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

This can be made to be quite small, if enough particles are used.

This becomes even more exact when only the short-range part is kept (discussed later).

Role of an improved treatment of impurity scattering using MD approach in GaAs: only with this correct method can the mobility be made to match the data over two orders of magnitude in heavy doping.


Here, the impurities were put in randomly in the sample to simulate real doping. Each electron is, on average, interacting with three impurities at any one time!

## Simulation Example

- The effect of the e-e scattering allows equilibrium distribution function to approach Fermi-Dirac or Maxwell Boltzmann distribution.
- Without e-e, there is a phonon 'kink' due to the finite energy of the phonon



