ME597/PHYS57000 Fall Semester 2010 Lecture 03

Some Topics in STM

Ron Reifenberger Birck Nanotechnology Center Purdue University

- Scanning Tunneling Spectroscopy (STS)
- Current Imaging Tunneling Spectroscopy (CITS)
- Apparent barrier height
- Force on the tip
- Atomic Corrugation
- Quantum Corrals



What determines number of electrons that flow per unit time for an applied V?



$$I = \frac{4\pi |e^{-}|}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[f(E_F + \varepsilon) - f(E_F - eV + \varepsilon) \right] \rho_{tip}(E_F + \varepsilon) \rho_{substrate}(E_F - eV + \varepsilon) T(\varepsilon, V) d\varepsilon$$

f(E) is the Fermi-Dirac distribution function ρ_{tip} is the LDOS of tip

 $\rho_{substrate}$ is the LDOS of substrate

T(E,V) is the transmission probability at energy E for an applied voltage V 4

Fermi-Dirac distribution function $f(E) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{\left[(E - E_F)/k_BT\right]}}$



A few reasonable assumptions:

 \cdot measurements at room temperature or below; $k_{\rm B}T$ at room temperature is 0.025 eV

• for voltage increments $\Delta V > ~2 k_B T/e$, f(E) is well approximated by a step function

 $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ assume tip DOS does not change appreciably with energy



Current Imaging Tunneling Spectroscopy (CITS)

Measure topography and I(V) at each point:





Example

Current Imaging (CITS) on a perfect Si(111)7x7 Surface



Key Idea: Acquire an (x,y) image at different voltages. Useful to visualize filled and unfilled states at each (x,y) point

Current Imaging Tunneling Spectroscopy on Si(111)7x7 at room temperature. The topographic image is shown at the top (I(t) = 0.35 nA, Ugap = 1.73 V), followed by several CITS images ranging from -2.0 V to +2.0 V. Spectroscopy data have been taken at every point of the frame for these images.

9 from Omicron web site

Measuring the apparent tunnel barrier height

Г

Recall for a constant tip bias
$$I = I_o e^{-2\alpha z}$$
 where $\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{2m\phi_{barrier}}{\hbar^2}}$

$$\ln(I) = \ln(I_o) - 2\frac{\sqrt{2m} \varphi_{barrier}(\text{in J})}{\hbar} z(\text{in m})$$
change units: $2\frac{\sqrt{2m}}{\hbar} \left[\sqrt{1.6 \times 10^{-19} \varphi_{barrier}(\text{in eV})}\right] \left[1 \times 10^{-10} z(\text{in Å})\right]$
the constant is now $2\frac{\sqrt{2m}}{\hbar} \left[\sqrt{1.6 \times 10^{-19}}\right] \left[1 \times 10^{-10}\right] = 1.029$

$$\ln(I) = \ln(I_o) - 1.029 \times \sqrt{\varphi_{barrier}(\text{in eV})} \times z(\text{in Å})$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial \left[\ln(I)\right]}{\partial z(\text{in Å})}\right]^2 = (1.029)^2 \times \varphi_{barrier}(\text{in eV})$$

$$\therefore \varphi_{barrier}(\text{in eV}) = \frac{1}{(1.029)^2} \times \left[\frac{\partial \left[\ln(I)\right]}{\partial z(\text{in Å})}\right]^2 = 0.94 \times \left[\frac{\partial \left[\ln(I)\right]}{\partial z(\text{in Å})}\right]^2$$

10

Apparent Barrier Height in Scanning Tunneling Microscopy Revisited

L. Olesen,¹ M. Brandbyge,² M. R. Sørensen,² K. W. Jacobsen,² E. Lægsgaard,¹ I. Stensgaard,¹ F. Besenbacher¹

¹Center for Atomic-scale Materials Physics (CAMP), Institute of Physics and Astronomy, University of Aarhus,

DK 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

²Center for Atomic-scale Materials Physics (CAMP), Physics Department, Technical University of Denmark, DK 2800 Lyngby, Denmark (Received 3 November 1995)



 $\varphi_{barrier}\left[Au(110)\right] = 4.7 \pm 1.0 \text{ eV}$ $\varphi_{barrier} [Ni(100)] = 4.5 \pm 0.7 \text{ eV}$ $\varphi_{barrier} [Pt(100)] = 3.4 \pm 0.8 \text{ eV}$

11



How to measure?





see Cross et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 4685 (1998).

Adhesion Interaction between Atomically Defined Tip and Sample

G. Cross, A. Schirmeisen, A. Stalder, and P. Grütter*

Center for the Physics of Materials, Department of Physics, McGill University, Montréal, Canada

M. Tschudy and U. Dürig*

IBM Research Division, Zurich Research Laboratory, CH-8803 Rüschlikon, Switzerland (Received 24 November 1997)



FIG. 3. Force versus tip-sample separation measured on a flat terrace using a W-trimer tip (tip-sample separation is defined as the relative motion of the tip with respect to the substrate using a tunnel resistance of 100 M Ω as the reference point). Note the hysteresis of 7 eV between the approach and retraction curve, indicating that dissipative processes take place in the range of the adhesion maximum. Also note that no spontaneous jump to contact followed by the formation of an adhesion neck occurs. The attractive interaction has a length scale of 1 nm, 1 order of magnitude larger than expected from universal scaling laws. The repulsive branch of the force curve is essentially linear (corresponding to a contact stiffness of 40 ± 20 N/m, indicated by the dashed line) and reversible. Surprisingly, the tip-sample junction can support a repulsive load of at least 5 nN corresponding to a contact pressure of 25 GPa. The compounded errors in determining the force scale correspond to $\pm 35\%$; the compounded errors in the tip-sample separation s are $\pm 20\%$.

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 71, 193407 (2005)

From tunneling to point contact: Correlation between forces and current

Yan Sun, Henrik Mortensen, Sacha Schär, Anne-Sophie Lucier, Yoichi Miyahara, and Peter Grütter Department of Physics, McGill University, 3600 University Street, Montreal, Quebec, H3A 2T8, Canada

Werner Hofer Surface Science Research Centre and the Department of Physics, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom (Received 7 February 2005; published 25 May 2005)



Junction Stability Nanomechanical Effects



from Intro to Scanning Tunneling Microscopy, C. Julian Chen (Oxford Press, Oxford - 2003), pg. 228

Atomic Corrugation - How High is an Atom?

PHYSICAL REVIEW B

VOLUME 58, NUMBER 24

15 DECEMBER 1998-II

Prediction of bias-voltage-dependent corrugation reversal for STM images of bcc (110) surfaces: W(110), Ta(110), and Fe(110)

S. Heinze

Institut für Festkörperforschung, Forschungszentrum Jülich, D-52425 Jülich, Germany and Zentrum für Mikrostrukturforschung, Universität Hamburg, D-20355 Hamburg, Germany

S. Blügel

Institut für Festkörperforschung, Forschungszentrum Jülich, D-52425 Jülich, Germany

R. Pascal, M. Bode, and R. Wiesendanger Zentrum für Mikrostrukturforschung, Universität Hamburg, D-20355 Hamburg, Germany (Received 15 July 1998)



15 pm

20





Quantum Corrals

- Confine electrons inside artificial structures
- Requires atomically flat metallic substrates
- Requires the presence of surface electron states
- Construct 2D atomic "fence" of electron scattering centers
- New way of guiding information through a solid



Elliptical Shapes are Special



36 cobalt atoms forming an elliptical structure on Cu(111) substrate



Theory

Experiment

Topography



DOS (dI/dV)

Fiete and Heller, Rev. Mod. Phys. 75, 933 (2003)

Quantum Corral Simulation

http://mw.concord.org/modeler1.3/mirror/quantum/corral.html

See also Prof. E.J. Heller's lecture at https://nanohub.org/resources/3253/

SUMMARY

To do STM well, you need

- i) high quality, FLAT, well characterized, electrically conducting substrates;
- ii) UHV and Low Temperature equipment;
- iii) lots of time and money;
- iv) infrastructure, infrastructure, infrastructure;

and

v) good theoretical support.